

CRICOS PROVIDER 00123M

Exploring the Dynamics of Co-teaching.

Suzanne Piovesan

adelaide.edu.au

seek LIGHT

Introduction

- Background Context and Issues
- Definition Co-teaching
- Purpose of study
- Small scale primary research
 - \circ Background
 - o Purpose
 - o Methodology
- Discussion of Findings
 - o Themes
- Issues, Tools & Implications
- Conclusion



PEP Context

Pre-Enrolment English Program

Intensive 5 weekly intakes/cycles Conditional Academic and rigorous Skills based Prescribed

Teaching staff - "Co-teaching" - common practice in PEP Staff turnover Student numbers New teacher mentoring Sharing responsibility and workload Personal choice p/t or f/t

Background to Co-teaching

- Collaborative + teaching = Co-teaching.
- **Collaborative** "Produced by or involving two or more parties working together" (Oxford Dictionary, 2016)

• Definitions

- "Co-teaching is two or more people sharing responsibility for teaching some or all of the students assigned to a classroom. It involves the distribution of responsibility among people for planning, instruction, and evaluation" (Villa et al., 2013, p 3).
- *"Two or more individuals who come together in a collaborative relationship for the purpose of shared work"* (Wenzlaff et al. 2002, cited in Ferguson & Wilson, 2013, p52).
- "Two teachers working together with groups of students and sharing the planning, organisation, delivery and assessment of instruction, as well as the physical space" (Bacharach et al., 2003, cited in Bacharach et al., 2008, p 9).

"Co-teaching" in the PEP

| Bacharach, Heck & Dank's definition (2003, cited in Bacharach et al. 2008, p9) | "Co-teaching" in the PEP |
|--|--|
| "Two teachers working together with groups of students" | Majority of classes allocated two teachers |
| "Sharing the planning" | Expected & essential Regular practice Some scheduled time |
| Sharing the "organisation" | Teachers share equal responsibility |
| Sharing the "assessment of instruction" | Marking Moderation Final assessment |
| Sharing the "delivery and the physical space" | Program Expectations – consistency = 'virtual' shared space Alternating instruction |

"Co-teaching" in the PEP- redefined.

A collaborative partnership involving coplanning, organisation and evaluation, with alternate teaching instruction, in a "virtual" Co-teaching context!

Many Questions about Co-teaching!

- What things do we, as teachers, bring to the teaching partnership that interfere with and enhance the teaching and learning process?
- What factors have an effect on the success or failure of a teaching partnership?
- How do we manage a teaching partnership in order to provide students with consistent feedback?
- What practices can best help to deal with issues that arise in our collaboration with teaching peers and our communication with students?

Small scale primary research Survey

• Why?

- Consistency in marking project
- Assisted the Education Program Manager
- o Questions
- o Past experiences

• Purpose

- Explore issues
- Consider tools used and needed to enhance consistency.
- o Implications

• Methodology

- \circ Survey Likert scale + short open ended questions
- \circ 170 PEP students + 20 co-teachers.
- Limitations stage of program, no consideration of gender or culture, subjectivity of interpretation

The survey – Likert scale responses

| Students | Teachers |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Co-teachers worked well together | My co-teacher and I worked well |
| Feedback comments | together |
| Assessment | Feedback comments |
| Communication with the class | Assessment |
| Teaching style | Communication with the class |
| Teacher attitude in class | Communication between co-teachers |
| Expectations of Students | Teaching style |
| | Dealing with students' issues |
| | Administration |
| | |

Summary of responses

Co-teachers worked well together



Consistency in Feedback comments





Consistency in Assessment/Grading





Consistency in communication with the class





Students

Consistency in Teaching Style

Teachers





Consistency in communication between co-teachers



Consistency of teacher's attitude in class (e.g. friendly, respectful, encouraging)



Consistency in dealing with student issues



Consistency in Expectations of Students (e.g. punctuality, English Only policy, participation etc.)



Consistency in Administration (e.g. planning, excel documentation of grades, filing, final assessment etc.)



Significant + ve themes: Teachers



Significant +ve themes: Students



Significant Issues: Teachers

"Hard to do all the handover over by phone or by email."

"Differences can be tricky – different expectations, ways of communicating."

"Maintaining communication; workload distribution."

"Not sure about how to handle strong discussion about differences of opinion when decisions have to be made."

"Inflexible people can ruin the whole experience."

"Inconsistent marking/concept of fairness."

"Often have to come in on "non-teaching days" to discuss matters, compare marking, results."

Significant Issues: Students

"...sometimes teachers may hold different ideas, so the instructions are not clear."

"..they repeat or forget something because they thought the other did or did not do it."

"Sometimes teachers had inconsistent understanding about tasks; consequently, confusion...among students."

"Sometimes confusing about different assessment standards."

"One teacher give high quality feedback comments, while another teacher just give simple comments."

"Sometimes they did not exchange(d) ideas very well."

"Don't know which teacher should be followed."

"Sometimes both of the two teachers think that some tasks should be done by another teacher rather than by themselves."

"Not fair...some teachers are strict, and some teachers are kind."

"Their feedback totally different, make student extremely confused."

Stages of "Co-teaching"



1. Establishing Stage

| Issues | Tools | Implications |
|--|--|--|
| Understanding | Pre-teaching GTKY Behaviour/Personality tests – e.g. Myer Briggs/DISC PD – communication skills | Shared understanding, enhanced communication, trust & respect. Effective collaboration in an environment of mutual support. |
| ExpectationsConsistency | Pre-teaching discussion Teacher Handbook/policies Agreement-communication PEP planning meetings & documents Curriculum overviews | Consistent interactions and responses between co-teachers and with students. Reduce conflicts. Non-judgmental clarification |
| RolesParity (Pratt, 2014)Power | Negotiation- establish norms and responsibilities at the outset. Divide tasks and duties equitably. | Reduce Power Issues Shared accountability for marking and administration. |



(Pinterest, 2016)

2. Enacting stage

| Issues | Tools | Implications |
|---|---|--|
| Communication Administration Parity Time Flexibility Privacy Planning time issues cited regularly in research as the number one serious issue. (Stroglios & Tragoulia, 2013; Knight & Sulzberger, 2013). | Curriculum documents PEP planning meetings & documents Bi-weekly Staff meetings Handover system Technology Planning tools Conferencing tools on Canvas Cloud sharing – e.g. Dropbox/Google Drive Use a "structured planning process" (<i>Knight & Sulzberger, 2013, p 6</i>) – e.g. "BASE" – co-planning process (<i>Knight & Sulzberger, 2013, p 4</i>). Mediator PD –conflict resolution (<i>Pratt, 2014</i>). & Communication skills University Counselling service/PCE Courses | Consistent communication with students Meet program objectives. Clarification Enhanced communication Allow for co-teachers to work on documents together (Knight & Sulzberger, 2013). Cyclic approach ensures no stages are missing Constant evaluation and refinement Assist in positive communication and reduce conflicts between co- teachers. Reduce pressure on colleagues to mediate. |

Structured Planning - Example:

"BASE" – Co-planning

Process (Knight & Sulzberger, 2013 p4).

- B = "Define the Big Ideas"
- A = "Analyse areas of difficulty"
- S = "Create Strategies and Support"
- E = "Evaluate the Process"

2. Enacting Stage (continued)

| Issues | Tools | Implications |
|---|--|---|
| Teaching and Learning Consistency in communication with students. Roles | Policies and curriculum documents. Discussion of Methodology | Expectations consistent Awareness of differences – clarification Reduce power conflicts – |
| ParityPower | Shared resources Decision Making skills Shared Discourse in the classroom – Academic terms & "PEP" language. | Shared decision making. Consistency in communicative dialogue/explanations of tasks and skills. |
| | • Students knowing "who to go to" (Bacharach et al. 2008)-consultations. | Shared responsibility – students less able to "play off" one teacher Vs another. Allows for different perspectives on an issue |

2. Enacting stage (continued)

| Issues | Tools | Implications |
|---|---|---|
| Feedback comments Consistency Time Communication | Consistency in Feedback project meetings Articulate storyline Models of rubric feedback Discussion with co- teacher New teacher mentors Error code | Build awareness Increase consistency Improve students' responses to feedback Reduce student confusion. Support for teachers – able to cross check quality and quantity of feedback Consistency/reduced confusion |

| Lecture3 () x + | | - 0 |
|--|------------|-------------|
| (Intps://myuni.adelaide.edu.au/courses/1/3623_ESL_104/content/_7115949_1/index_Ims.html | C Q Search | ☆ 自 ♥ ♣ ♠ ☰ |



(My Uni, 2016)

3. Evaluating Stage

| Issues | Tools | Implications |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Assessment -Consistency -Time | Moderation – informal & formal Graded models of rubrics Articulate storyline Staff meetings | Objectivity Non-judgemental clarification Consistency PD Fairness |
| Reflection | At different stages Informal eg. discussion, PD modules, self evaluation PDR Report-review Formal - PEP Review - whole staff Review for Co-teachers? Student E-Selts | • "Reflection enables teachers to improve their Co-teaching relationships and instructional practices" (Pratt, 2014). |

University of Adelaide

Conclusion

- Teaching partnerships beneficial to both teachers and students when well managed
- Issues
- Existing tools/tools needed & implications
- Effective communication = enhance consistency
- Value of modelling to students & mentoring/PD for teachers
- Further exploration needed

Reference List

Bacharach, N, Heck, TW & Dahlburg, K. 2008, Co -Teaching in Higher Education, *Journal of College Teaching and Learning,* Vol. 5. No. 1, pp 9 – 16, viewed 6 September 2016

Ferguson, J, & Wilson, JC, 2013, The Co-Teaching Professorship: Power and Expertise in the Co-Taught Higher Education Classroom, *Scholar Practitioner Quarterly,* Vol. 5. No. 1, pp 52-6

Knight, B & Sulzberger, L, 2013, *Remove the Barriers of Time and Space: Strategies for Effective Co-Planning,* William and Mary School of Education, viewed 6 September 2016, http://education.wm.edu/centers/ttac/resources/articles/consultcollaborate/removethebarriers/index.php

Oxford Dictionary, 2013, viewed September 2016 < http://education.wm.edu/centers/ttac/resources/articles/consultcollaborate/removethebarriers/index.php >

Pinterest, 2016, Image search, viewed 10 October 2016, http://education.wm.edu/centers/ttac/resources/articles/consultcollaborate/removethebarriers/index.php

Pratt, S, 2014, Achieving Symbiosis: Working through challenges found in co-teaching to achieve effective co-teaching relationships, *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 41, pp 1-12, viewed 6 September 2016,

Stroglios, V & Tragouli, E, 2013, Inclusive and collaborative practices in co-taught classrooms: Roles and Responsibilities for Teachers and Peers", *Teaching and Teacher Education, 35,* pp 81-91

Villa, RA, Thousand, JS & Nevin, AI, 2013, A Guide to Co-Teaching: New Lessons and Strategies to Facilitate Student Learning, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, California



THE UNIVERSITY of ADELAIDE